

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/652,342	09/02/2003	Kenji Shimoyama	990342A	1627	
38834 75	590 04/19/2005		EXAMINER		
WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP 1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW			DIAZ, JOSE R		
SUITE 700	TICUI AVENUE, NW	AVENUE, NW		PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTO	WASHINGTON, DC 20036			2815	
			DATE MAILED: 04/19/2005	DATE MAILED: 04/19/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/652,342	SHIMOYAMA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	José R. Díaz	2815			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period who is a reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Ja	nuary 2005.				
•—	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 16-23 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 21 is/are withdrawn fit 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 16-20,22 and 23 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	rom consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati fity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No. <u>09274767</u> . ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P	Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/652,342 Page 2

Art Unit: 2815

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 16-20 and 22-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsang (US Pat. No. 4,622,673) in view of Fukagi (US Pat. No. 5,530,713).

Regarding claims 16, 20, 22 and 23, Tsang teaches a method of manufacturing semiconductor light-emitting device comprising the steps of:

growing a compound semiconductor epitaxial layer (3, 5, 7) including an active layer (5) on a substrate (1) (see fig. 1);

Application/Control Number: 10/652,342

Art Unit: 2815

forming a protective film (9) having an opening on a surface of the compound semiconductor epitaxial layer (3, 5, 7) (see fig. 1); and

selectively growing a ridge-shaped compound semiconductor epitaxial layer (11) to cover the opening (see fig. 1).

However, Tsang fails to teach the limitation of a substrate having a surface having an off-angle of 0.5° to 30° to a crystallographic plane of (100), (111) or (0001).

Fukagi teaches that it is well known in the art to include a GaAs substrate (e.g. a zinc-blende structure) having a surface having an off-angle of 0.5° to 1° to a crystallographic plane of (100) (see col. 4, lines 8-10), and a compound semiconductor layer (layers 2-8) including cladding (3 and 7) and active (5) layers, wherein the compound semiconductor layer comprises AlGaAs (see layers 3-4 and 6-7) (see col. 14, lines 5-29).

Tsang and Fukagi are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor as applicant's invention. At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include a substrate having a surface having an off-angle of 0.5° to 1° to a crystallographic plane of (100), and a compound semiconductor epitaxial layer including an active layer and a cladding layer comprising AlGaAs material. The motivation for doing so, as is taught by Fukagi, is improving the driving current increasing rate (col. 15, lines 20-21). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Fukagi with Tsang to obtain the invention of claims 16-20 and 22.

Regarding claim 17, Tsang teaches that the compound semiconductor epitaxial layers including an active layer (15) further include a first conductivity type cladding

Application/Control Number: 10/652,342

Art Unit: 2815

layer (3) and a second conductivity type first cladding layer (7) (see fig. 1 and col. 4, lines 41-42).

Regarding claim 18, Tsang teaches that the ridge-shaped compound semiconductor epitaxial layer (11) includes a second conductivity type second cladding layer (consider the fact that the layer 11 is an epitaxial layer grown from the cladding layer 7 (col. 4, lines 19-20 and 23-26), which has a second conductivity type (i.e. p-type) (col. 4, lines 41-42)).

Regarding claim 19, Tsang teaches that the second conductivity type second cladding layer (11) is grown as to cover a portion of a surface of the protective film (9) (see fig. 1).

## Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 16-20 and 22-23 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground of rejection.

#### Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Application/Control Number: 10/652,342 Page 5

Art Unit: 2815

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to José R. Díaz whose telephone number is (571) 272-1727. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Thomas can be reached on (571) 272-1664. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JRD 4/14/05

SUPERVISORY PATERIT EXAMINER